

Orthotic and Prosthetic Education and Research Foundation (OPERF)

REVIEW FORM

INSTRUCTIONS

Instructions: Reviewers are asked to use this form to score the extent to which the applicant has addressed each of the following items in their proposal using the grading described. Score each assessment criterion using an integer value, according to the descriptions below:

<u>Score (5 pts)</u>	<u>Score (10 pts)</u>	<u>Description</u>
5	10	Excellent, entirely convincing
4	8	Good, lots of evidence to substantiate, almost fully convincing
3	6	Average, some evidence, somewhat convincing
2	4	Fair, little evidence, not convincing
1	2	Poor, scant evidence, not convincing at all
0	0	Absent, no information

Reviewers are also expected to provide comments and feedback for each item that is not scored as "excellent." **Reviewers MUST provide feedback for any items that are not scored with the maximum number of points (i.e. 5 or 10 on the 5- and 10-point scales, respectively).** The OPERF Review Form is intended to be shared with the applicant so as to improve the quality of the research proposal and to offer the applicant constructive criticism. Applicants will be blinded as to the identity of reviewers. Please comment accordingly.

The reviewer is asked to complete pages 1-5 of this OPERF Review Form. The following pages, named the Review Coversheet will be automatically generated based upon the scores provided in pages 1-5.

APPLICANT INFORMATION

(to be completed by OPERF)

Applicant Name: _____
Announcement Number: _____
Application title: _____

Applicant information will automatically populate headers in the OPERF Review Form

REVIEWER INFORMATION

(to be completed by OPERF and verified by reviewer)

Reviewer Name: _____
Email: _____
Phone Number: _____

NOTE: Reviewer information will NOT be shared with applicant, but is for OPERF reference only.

Applicant Name:

Announcement Number:

2.2 An appropriate study design is described. **(5 points)**

--

2.2 Reviewer's Score:

2.3 Selection of appropriate subjects/samples and relevant outcome measures is described. **(10 points)**

--

2.3 Reviewer's Score:

2.4 Study variables (independent, dependent, and extraneous) are described and appropriate data analysis plans are provided. **(10 points)**

--

2.4 Reviewer's Score:

Applicant Name:

Announcement Number:

2.5 A realistic timeline/schedule is included, with milestones and deliverables described. (10 points)

2.5 Reviewer's Score:

2.6 Expected results and future plans are described. (10 points)

2.6 Reviewer's Score:

SECTION 3: APPROPRIATENESS OF PERSONNEL AND ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Adequate facilities, resources, and personnel are available to the investigator and their importance to the project is described. (10 points)

3.1 Reviewer's Score:

Applicant Name:

Announcement Number:

3.2 A reasonable budget and budget justification are included. (10 points)

3.2 Reviewer's Score:

3.3 Appropriate letters of support are included. (5 points)

3.3 Reviewer's Score:

OVERALL RECOMMENDATION

In your opinion, should this application be recommended for funding?

Yes No

Please provide additional comments on page 5.

Applicant Name:

Announcement Number:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

A large empty rectangular box with a black border, intended for providing additional comments.

OPERF Review Coversheet

Applicant: _____

Application title: _____

Announcement Number: _____

SECTION 1:RELEVANCE OF THE RESEARCH TOPIC

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points Possible</u>	<u>Points Scored</u>
1.1 The proposal describes a gap in the body of knowledge.	10	
1.2 The work is original and/or novel.	10	
Section 1 subtotal	20	

SECTION 2:MERIT OF THE RESEARCH DESIGN

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points Possible</u>	<u>Points Scored</u>
2.1 Specific aims/objectives and a sound hypothesis are included.	10	
2.2 An appropriate study design is described.	5	
2.3 Subjects/samples and relevant outcome measures are described.	10	
2.4 Study variables and appropriate data analysis plans are provided.	10	
2.5 A timeline is included and milestones/deliverables are described.	10	
2.6 Expected results and future plans are described..	10	
Section 2 sub-total	55	

SECTION 3:APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PERSONNEL AND ENVIRONMENT

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points Possible</u>	<u>Points Scored</u>
3.1 Adequate facilities, resources, and personnel are described.	10	
3.2 A reasonable budget and budget justification are included.	10	
3.3 Appropriate letters of support are included.	5	
Section 3 sub-total	25	

OPERF Review Coversheet

Applicant: _____
Application title: _____
Announcement Number: _____

SCORING SUMMARY:

<u>Section</u>	<u>Points Possible</u>	<u>Points Scored</u>
Section 1	20	
Section 2	55	
Section 3	25	
TOTAL SCORE	100	

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommended for Funding	
Not Recommended for Funding	